

University of Warwick institutional repository: <http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap>

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): IAN D. MORRIS

Article Title: The Mañé–Conze–Guivarc’h lemma for intermittent maps of the circle

Year of publication: 2009

Link to published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000837>

Publisher statement: None

# The Mañé–Conze–Guivarc’h lemma for intermittent maps of the circle

IAN D. MORRIS

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK  
(e-mail: Ian.Morris@warwick.ac.uk)

(Received 2 October 2007 and accepted in revised form 23 July 2008)

**Abstract.** We study the existence of solutions  $g$  to the functional inequality  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta$ , where  $f$  is a prescribed continuous function,  $T$  is a weakly expanding transformation of the circle having an indifferent fixed point, and  $\beta$  is the maximum ergodic average of  $f$ . Using a method due to T. Bousch, we show that continuous solutions  $g$  always exist when the Hölder exponent of  $f$  is close to 1. In the converse direction, we construct explicit examples of continuous functions  $f$  with low Hölder exponent for which no continuous solution  $g$  exists. We give sharp estimates on the best possible Hölder regularity of a solution  $g$  given the Hölder regularity of  $f$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a discrete dynamical system, and let  $\mathcal{M}_T$  be the set of all Borel probability measures which are invariant under the map  $T$ . For a given continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the maximum ergodic average  $\beta(f)$  by

$$\beta(f) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T} \int f \, d\mu,$$

and say that  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_T$  is a *maximizing measure* for  $f$  if it satisfies  $\int f \, d\nu = \beta(f)$ . The study of maximizing measures has recently become the focus of significant research interest. While early articles of Bousch and Jenkinson [2, 14] were motivated by abstract questions concerning the geometric structure of the set of measures  $\mathcal{M}_T$ , questions relating to maximizing measures have also appeared in research into chaotic control [13, 25], Livšic-type theorems [6], thermodynamic formalism [9, 15, 16], Tetris heaps [7], and the Lagarias–Wang finiteness conjecture in linear algebra [7].

This article is concerned with a key technical tool that arises in the study of maximizing measures, which we call the *Mañé–Conze–Guivarc’h lemma*. A lemma of this type takes the following form: given a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with some prescribed regularity, under suitable dynamical hypotheses there exists a continuous function

$g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$ . This relation is equivalent to the statement that there exists a continuous  $g$  such that  $\sup(f + g - g \circ T) = \beta(f)$ . Conze and Guivarc'h's version of this lemma may be found in the unpublished manuscript [10]. It has been noted that theorems of a similar character occur in the field of optimal control, e.g. [1, 17]; this relationship is examined in Bousch's preprint [5].

We briefly describe the immediate implications of this result. First, let us rewrite the aforementioned inequality in the form  $f = g \circ T - g + \beta(f) - r$ , where  $r$  is continuous and satisfies  $r \geq 0$ . We then obtain  $\int f \, d\nu = \beta(f) - \int r \, d\nu$  for every  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_T$ , and so  $\nu$  is maximizing for  $f$  if and only if  $\int r \, d\nu = 0$ . Since  $r(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ , we conclude that the maximizing measures of  $f$  are precisely those invariant measures  $\nu$  whose support lies in the compact set  $r^{-1}(0)$ . This leads to the *subordination principle* described by Bousch [3]: if invariant measures  $\mu, \nu$  satisfy  $\text{supp } \nu \subseteq \text{supp } \mu$  and  $\mu$  is a maximizing measure for  $f$ , then the 'subordinate' measure  $\nu$  is maximizing also. It has been shown that this subordination principle can fail to hold when the regularity of  $f$  is relaxed [6].

A particularly interesting application of the Mañé–Conze–Guivarc'h lemma is a recent result of Bousch [4] which shows that for dynamical systems satisfying a Mañé–Conze–Guivarc'h lemma, measures supported on periodic orbits are the only maximizing measures that persist under Lipschitz perturbations of the observable  $f$ . A similar result was previously shown by G. Yuan and B. R. Hunt under more restrictive dynamical assumptions [25]. Mañé–Conze–Guivarc'h-type lemmas have also been found useful in circumstances that are not *a priori* related to maximizing measures [20].

When  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is an expanding map, a subshift of finite type or an Anosov diffeomorphism, and  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Hölder continuous, it is known that we can always find  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Hölder continuous such that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$  is satisfied [3, 11, 19, 22]. The purpose of the present article is to examine the extension of this result to a simple class of non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems on the circle, namely the case in which  $T$  is uniformly expanding except in the neighbourhood of a weakly repelling fixed point.

Previously, it was shown by Souza [23] that for an expanding map  $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with a weakly repelling fixed point, a Mañé–Conze–Guivarc'h lemma can be proved when  $f$  is Hölder continuous and monotone in some neighbourhood of the indifferent fixed point  $z$ , and additionally satisfies  $\int f \, d\nu_- < f(z) < \int f \, d\nu_+$  for some  $\nu_-, \nu_+ \in \mathcal{M}_T$ . Prior to the research described in this article, S. Branton had shown that when  $f$  is Lipschitz continuous, Souza's conditions may be removed [8]. In this article, using a different method from that of S. Branton, we study the case in which  $f$  is Hölder and prove a complementary result showing that solutions can fail to exist in certain situations where  $f$  is Hölder continuous with exponent close to 0.

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ , with metric  $d$  inherited from the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The precise class of maps  $T: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  which we study is defined as follows.

*Definition 1.1.* For each  $\alpha > 0$ , we say that a continuous function  $T: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is an *expanding map of Manneville–Pomeau type  $\alpha$*  if it fixes 0, is differentiable with derivative

greater than 1 in the interval  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{0\}$ , and satisfies

$$T'(x) = 1 + \xi x^\alpha + o(x^\alpha) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0^+,$$

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 1^-} T'(x) > 1$$

for some  $\xi > 0$ .

The archetypal map  $T$  represented by this definition is the *Manneville–Pomeau map* defined by  $x \mapsto x + x^{1+\alpha} \bmod 1$ . Expanding maps of Manneville–Pomeau type are studied in, for example, [12, 18, 24].

For each  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ , let  $H_\gamma$  denote the space of all  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous real-valued functions on the circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , and define  $|f|_\gamma = \sup_{x \neq y} |f(x) - f(y)|/d(x, y)^\gamma$  for  $f \in H_\gamma$ . The set  $H_\gamma$  is a Banach space when equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  given by  $\|f\|_\gamma := |f|_\infty + |f|_\gamma$ . Using a method based on Young towers, S. Branton proved the following.

**THEOREM. [8]** *Let  $T: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be an expanding map of Manneville–Pomeau type  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then for every  $f \in H_1$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1 - \alpha)$  there exists  $g \in H_{1-\alpha-\delta}$  such that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$ .*

We are able to establish the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be an expanding map of Manneville–Pomeau type  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and suppose that  $\alpha < \gamma \leq 1$ . Then for every  $f \in H_\gamma$  there exists  $g \in H_{\gamma-\alpha}$  such that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$ . In addition, the function  $g$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$g(x) + \beta(f) = \max_{Ty=x} [f(y) + g(y)].$$

Furthermore, we are able to show that Theorem 1 is sharp both in the regularity of  $f$  and in the regularity of  $g$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be an expanding map of Manneville–Pomeau type  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and suppose that  $0 < \alpha < \gamma \leq 1$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *there exists  $f \in H_\gamma$  such that if  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$  for  $g \in H_\theta$ , then  $\theta \leq \gamma - \alpha$ ;*
- (b) *there exists  $f \in H_\alpha$  such that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$  is not satisfied for any continuous function  $g$ .*

In a recent article, T. Bousch proved the following theorem, which extends a result of Yuan and Hunt [25].

**THEOREM. [4]** *Let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous surjection of a compact metric space. Suppose that for all  $f \in H_1$ , there exists  $g \in H_1$  such that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$  and  $|g|_1 \leq C|f|_1$  for some  $C > 0$  independent of  $f$ . Suppose also that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T$  is a maximizing measure for every element of some non-empty open set  $U \subset H_1$ . Then  $\mu$  is supported on a periodic orbit of  $T$ .*

We remark that while uniformly expanding dynamical systems have been shown to satisfy the hypotheses of this theorem (see [3, 11, 22]), Theorem 2(a) demonstrates that the required hypotheses do not hold for maps of Manneville–Pomeau type.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We use a fixed-point method that was employed in the work of Bousch [2, 4]. We begin with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $T$  be of Manneville–Pomeau type  $\alpha$ , and take  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $d(z_1, z_2)$  sufficiently small. Then*

$$d(Tz_1, Tz_2) \geq d(z_1, z_2)(1 + C_0 d(z_1, z_2)^\alpha)$$

for some constant  $C_0$  that depends only on  $T$ .

*Proof.* We consider separately two cases depending on whether the shortest arc connecting  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  does or does not contain 0.

We begin with the latter case. Choose representatives  $a_1, a_2 \in [0, 1)$  of  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ , respectively, assuming without loss of generality that  $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 < 1$ . If  $d(z_1, z_2)$  is small enough, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tz_1, Tz_2) &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} |T'(s)| ds \geq \int_{a_1}^{a_2} 1 + \rho_0 s^\alpha ds \\ &\geq (a_2 - a_1) + \rho_1 (a_2 - a_1)^{1+\alpha} = d(z_1, z_2) + \rho_1 d(z_1, z_2)^{1+\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for some small  $\rho_0, \rho_1 > 0$  not depending on  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . This completes the proof in this case.

Now suppose that 0 lies in the arc connecting  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , with the triple  $(z_1, 0, z_2)$  being positively oriented. Arguing as previously, we have  $d(Tz_2, 0) \geq d(z_2, 0) + \rho_1 d(z_2, 0)^{1+\alpha}$ . Since  $T$  has derivative bounded away from 1 in any small interval of the form  $(-\delta, 0)$ , there is a  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that  $d(Tz_1, 0) \geq (1 + \rho_2)d(z_1, 0)$  when  $d(z_1, 0)$  is small enough. Combining these estimates yields

$$d(Tz_1, Tz_2) = d(Tz_1, 0) + d(0, Tz_2) \geq d(z_1, z_2) + \rho_1 d(z_2, 0)^{1+\alpha} + \rho_2 d(z_1, 0).$$

If we take  $C_0 = \min\{\rho_1/2^{1+\alpha}, \rho_2/2\}$ , then by separating the cases  $d(z_1, 0) \geq d(z_2, 0)$  and  $d(z_1, 0) \leq d(z_2, 0)$  we obtain

$$\rho_1 d(z_2, 0)^{1+\alpha} + \rho_2 d(z_1, 0) \geq C_0 d(z_1, z_2)^{1+\alpha}$$

for every sufficiently close choice of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  separated by 0. Combining the above two inequalities completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $T$  be of Manneville–Pomeau type  $\alpha$ , and let  $\gamma \in (\alpha, 1]$ . Then there exists  $C_\gamma > 0$  with the following property: for every  $x_1, x_2, y_1 \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $Ty_1 = x_1$ , we may choose  $y_2 \in T^{-1}\{x_2\}$  such that*

$$d(y_1, y_2)^{\gamma-\alpha} + C_\gamma d(y_1, y_2)^\gamma \leq d(x_1, x_2)^{\gamma-\alpha}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Given  $x_1, x_2, y_1 \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $Ty_1 = x_1$ , we claim that there exists  $y_2 \in T^{-1}\{x_2\}$  such that

$$d(y_1, y_2)(1 + \rho_3 d(y_1, y_2)^\alpha) \leq d(x_1, x_2) \quad (2)$$

for some  $\rho_3 > 0$  independent of  $x_1, x_2, y_1$ . Taking  $\rho_4 = (1 + \rho_3)^{\gamma-\alpha} - 1 > 0$ , we have  $(1 + \rho_3 t)^{\gamma-\alpha} \geq 1 + \rho_4 t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Applying this to (2) yields (1) with  $C_\gamma = \rho_4$ .

We now prove the claim. We begin by noting that  $T$  expands sufficiently long intervals by a uniform factor: for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $K_\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x_1, x_2) \geq \delta$ , then  $y_2$  may be chosen with

$$(1 + K_\delta) d(y_1, y_2) \leq d(x_1, x_2).$$

Thus, given some fixed  $\delta > 0$ , (2) holds for every case in which  $d(x_1, x_2) \geq \delta$  by taking  $\rho_3 \leq K_\delta$ . On the other hand, if  $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$  for some sufficiently small fixed  $\delta > 0$ , then we may choose  $y_2 \in T^{-1}\{x_2\}$  with  $d(y_1, y_2) \leq d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$  and apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$d(y_1, y_2)(1 + C_0 d(y_1, y_2)^\alpha) \leq d(x_1, x_2),$$

so that taking  $\rho_3 = \min\{K_\delta, C_0\}$  completes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 1. Let  $\gamma \in (\alpha, 1]$  and define a subset of  $C(\mathbb{T})$  by

$$K = \{g \in H_{\gamma-\alpha} : |g|_{\gamma-\alpha} \leq C_\gamma^{-1} |f|_\gamma\},$$

where  $C_\gamma > 0$  is as in Lemma 2.2. Let  $K_0 = K/\mathbb{R}$ , the set of equivalence classes of elements of  $K$  modulo addition of a constant. Clearly,  $K_0$  is compact with respect to uniform distance. For each  $g \in K$ , define  $L_f g \in C(\mathbb{T})$  by  $(L_f g)(x) = \max_{Ty=x} (f + g)(y)$ . We assert that  $L_f$  is a continuous transformation of  $K$  with respect to uniform distance.

Given  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $g \in K$ , choose  $y_1 \in T^{-1}x_1$  such that  $(L_f g)(x_1) = (f + g)(y_1)$ . Invoking Lemma 2.2, we may choose  $y_2 \in T^{-1}x_2$  such that (1) holds and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (L_f g)(x_1) - (L_f g)(x_2) &\leq (f + g)(y_1) - (f + g)(y_2) \\ &\leq |f|_\gamma d(y_1, y_2)^\gamma + |g|_{\gamma-\alpha} d(y_1, y_2)^{\gamma-\alpha} \\ &\leq C_\gamma^{-1} |f|_\gamma d(x_1, x_2)^{\gamma-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $|L_f g|_{\gamma-\alpha} \leq C_\gamma^{-1} |f|_\gamma$  for all  $g \in K$  and therefore  $L_f K \subseteq K$ . A simple argument shows that  $|L_f g_1 - L_f g_2|_\infty \leq |g_1 - g_2|_\infty$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in K$  so that  $L_f$  is a continuous transformation of  $K$ . It follows that  $L_f$  induces a continuous transformation of  $K_0$ . Hence, by the Schauder–Tychonoff theorem, there exists  $h \in K$  such that  $L_f h = h \bmod \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  be chosen such that  $h(x) = b + \max_{Ty=x} (f + h)(y)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ ; a simple argument as in [2] shows that  $b = \beta(f)$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we shall take the liberty of using the fundamental domain  $[0, 1)$  as a model for  $\mathbb{T}$  and treating  $T$  as a  $[0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  map in the obvious fashion. Let  $u_1 = \min\{u \in (0, 1) : Tu = 0\}$  and define a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $[0, 1)$  by  $u_n := \min\{u \in (0, 1) : Tu = u_{n-1}\}$ . We require two simple lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *There is  $C_1 > 1$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$C_1^{-1} n^{-1-1/\alpha} \leq u_n - u_{n+1} \leq C_1 n^{-1-1/\alpha}$$

and

$$C_1^{-1} n^{-1/\alpha} \leq u_n \leq C_1 n^{-1/\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the relation  $Tu_n - u_n = \xi u_n^{1+\alpha} + o(u_n)^{1+\alpha}$  in a fairly straightforward fashion; see, for instance, [24].  $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $f: [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $f(0) = 0$ , and suppose that there is  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$|f(\kappa)| \leq C\kappa^{\gamma_1}$$

and

$$\sup_{\substack{x, y \in [\kappa, 1] \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq C\kappa^{-\gamma_2},$$

where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$  and  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \geq 1$ . Then  $f$  is  $\gamma_1/(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$ -Hölder continuous throughout  $[0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq x < y < 1$ , and let  $\lambda = y^{-\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}(y - x)$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_1/(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$ . If  $\lambda > 1/2$ , then  $y^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} < 2(y - x)$  and hence

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x)| + |f(y)| \leq 2Cy^{\gamma_1} < 2^{1+\gamma}C|y - x|^\gamma.$$

Otherwise,  $y - x = \lambda y^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} \leq \lambda y \leq y/2$ ; so  $0 < y \leq 2x$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq Cx^{-\gamma_2}(y - x)^{1-\gamma}(y - x)^\gamma \\ &= C\lambda^{1-\gamma}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\gamma_2}(y - x)^\gamma \leq 2^{\gamma-1+\gamma_2}C(y - x)^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

3.1. *Proof of part (a).* Given  $0 < \alpha < \gamma \leq 1$ , let  $K_\gamma = C_1 \sum_{n=2}^\infty n^{-\gamma/\alpha} < \infty$ . Define  $f$  by  $f(x) = x^\gamma$  for all  $x \in [0, u_3]$ , by  $f(x) = -K$  for all  $x \in [u_2, u_1]$ , and by linear interpolation in the intervals  $[u_3, u_2]$  and  $[u_1, 1)$  subject to the constraint  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 0$  which ensures that  $f$  yields a continuous function  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $f(x) \leq u_k^\gamma$  when  $u_{k+1} \leq x \leq u_k$  and that  $f \in H_\gamma$ .

We claim that  $\beta(f) = 0$ . Since the Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  is invariant and  $f(0) = 0$ , it is clear that  $\beta(f) \geq 0$ . By a lemma of Peres [21], there exists  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(T^j x) \geq n\beta(f)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ; so to prove that  $\beta(f) \leq 0$ , it is sufficient to show that for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  we may find  $v(x) > 0$  such that  $\sum_{j=0}^{v(x)-1} f(T^j x) \leq 0$ .

If  $x = 0$  or  $x \in [u_2, 1)$ , then clearly we may take  $v(x) = 1$ . Otherwise, we have  $x \in [u_{r+1}, u_r]$  for some  $r \geq 2$ . Applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^r f(T^j x) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (T^j x)^\gamma - K \leq \sum_{k=2}^r u_k^\gamma - K \leq C_1 \sum_{k=2}^\infty k^{-\gamma/\alpha} - K = 0,$$

so that taking  $v(x) = r + 1$  proves the claim.

Now suppose that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$ , where  $g \in H_\theta$ . For every  $n > 0$  and  $r \geq 3$ , we have

$$g(u_{n+r}) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(T^j u_{n+r}) \leq g(T^n u_{n+r})$$

and hence

$$g(u_r) \geq \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+n} f(u_k) + g(u_{n+r}) \geq C_1^{-1} \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+n} k^{-\gamma/\alpha} + g(u_{n+r}).$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives

$$g(u_r) \geq C_1^{-1} \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} k^{-\gamma/\alpha} + g(0) \geq \tilde{C} r^{1-\gamma/\alpha} + g(0),$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{C} r^{-1-\gamma/\alpha} \leq |g(0) - g(u_r)| \leq |g|_{\theta} u_r^{\theta} \leq |g|_{\theta} C_1^{\theta} r^{-\theta/\alpha}$$

for every  $r \geq 3$ . We deduce that  $\theta \leq \gamma - \alpha$ .  $\square$

3.2. *Proof of part (b).* Define  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [u_1, 1)$  and, for each  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_{2^{4n}}) &= f(u_{2^{4n+2}}) = 0, \\ f(u_{2^{4n+1}}) &= -2^{-4n}, \\ f(u_{2^{4n+3}}) &= \tau 2^{-4n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  is a real number to be fixed later. Extend  $f$  to the whole of  $[0, 1)$  by interpolating linearly in each interval  $[u_{2^{4n+k+1}}, u_{2^{4n+k}}]$ .

We will show that  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder. Suppose that  $u_{2^{4n+4}} \leq \kappa \leq u_{2^{4n}}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ ; then

$$|f(\kappa)| < 2^{-4n} \leq C_1^{\alpha} u_{2^{4n}}^{\alpha} \leq C_1^{\alpha} \kappa^{\alpha}. \quad (3)$$

We must estimate the Lipschitz norm of  $f$  in the interval  $[\kappa, 1)$ . To do this, we require the simple lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2^{r+1}} - u_{2^r} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{2^r-1} u_{2^{r+\ell+1}} - u_{2^{r+\ell}} \geq \sum_{k=2^r}^{2^{r+1}-1} C_1^{-1} k^{-1-1/\alpha} \\ &\geq \tilde{C} (2^{-r/\alpha} - 2^{-(r+1)/\alpha}) \geq \tilde{C} 2^{-r/\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r > 0$ , where we have used Lemma 3.1. It follows that when  $u_{2^{4n+4}} \leq \kappa \leq u_{2^{4n}}$ , the gradient of  $f$  in  $[\kappa, 1)$  is bounded by

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 \leq \ell < 4}} \frac{2^{-4k}}{|u_{2^{4k+\ell+1}} - u_{2^{4k+\ell}}|} \leq \sup_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 \leq \ell < 4}} \frac{2^{-4k}}{\tilde{C} 2^{-(4k+\ell)/\alpha}} = \tilde{C} 2^{-4k+4k/\alpha} \leq \tilde{C} \kappa^{\alpha-1}. \quad (4)$$

Combining estimates (3) and (4) with Lemma 3.2, we deduce that  $f \in H_{\alpha}$ .

We next compute  $\beta(f)$ . Since  $f(0) = 0$  and the Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  is  $T$ -invariant, we have  $\beta(f) \geq 0$ . To prove that  $\beta(f) = 0$ , we proceed as in part (a) by showing that for each  $x \in [0, 1)$ , there is  $v(x) > 0$  such that  $\sum_{j=0}^{v(x)-1} f(T^j x) \leq 0$ .

If  $x \geq u_2$  or  $x = 0$  or  $u_{2^{4n+2}} \leq x \leq u_{2^{4n}}$  for some  $n > 0$ , then  $f(x) \leq 0$  and we may take  $v(x) = 1$ . We therefore restrict our attention to the case in which  $u_{2^{4n+4}} < x < u_{2^{4n+2}}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Assuming this, suppose that

$$u_{2^{4n+2}+k+1} \leq x \leq u_{2^{4n+2}+k},$$



where  $0 \leq k < 2^{4n+4} - 2^{4n+2}$ . We choose  $v(x) = k + 2^{4n+1} + 2$ . First we note that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k f(T^j x) \leq \tau k 2^{-4n} \leq 12\tau. \quad (5)$$

Using the monotonicity of  $f$  in  $[u_{2^{4n+1}}, u_{2^{4n}}]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+2^{4n+1}+1} f(T^j x) &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{2^{4n+1}} f(u_{2^{4n+1}+\ell}) = - \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+1}} 2^{-4n} \frac{|u_{2^{4n+1}} - u_{2^{4n+1}+\ell}|}{|u_{2^{4n+1}} - u_{2^{4n+2}}|} \\ &\leq - \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+1}} 2^{-4n} u_{2^{4n+1}}^{-1} (u_{2^{4n+1}} - u_{2^{4n+1}+\ell}) \\ &\leq -C_1^{-1} 2^{-1/\alpha-4n+4n/\alpha} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (u_{2^{4n+1}+j} - u_{2^{4n+1}+j+1}) \\ &\leq -C_1^{-2} 2^{-1/\alpha-4n+4n/\alpha} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+1}} \ell 2^{-(4n+2)(1+1/\alpha)} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{C_1^2 2^{2+3/\alpha}} 2^{-8n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+1}} \ell \leq -\frac{1}{C_1^2 2^{5+2/\alpha}} = -\varepsilon < 0, \end{aligned}$$

say, where we have twice used Lemma 3.1. Combining this estimate with (5), we deduce that  $\sum_{j=0}^{v(x)-1} f(T^j x) \leq \max\{0, 12\tau - \varepsilon\}$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ ; thus, if  $\tau$  is taken smaller than  $\varepsilon/12$ , then  $\beta(f) = 0$ .

Our final task is to show that the relation  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$  is impossible for continuous  $g$ . Following the method of the preceding estimate, for each  $n > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=2^{4n+2}}^{2^{4n+3}} f(u_\ell) &\geq \tau \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+2}} 2^{-4n} \frac{|u_{2^{4n+2}} - u_{2^{4n+2}+\ell}|}{|u_{2^{4n+2}} - u_{2^{4n+3}}|} \\ &\geq \tau \tilde{C} 2^{-4n+4n/\alpha} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (u_{2^{4n+2}+j} - u_{2^{4n+2}+j+1}) \\ &\geq \tau \tilde{C} 2^{-8n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{4n+2}} \ell \geq \delta_\tau > 0, \end{aligned}$$

say. Suppose now that  $f \leq g \circ T - g + \beta(f)$  is satisfied; then for each  $n > 0$  we have

$$g(u_{2^{4n+2}}) \geq g(u_{2^{4n+3}}) + \sum_{j=0}^{2^{4n+3}-2^{4n+2}} f(T^j u_{2^{4n+3}}) \geq g(u_{2^{4n+3}}) + \delta_\tau.$$

If  $g$  is continuous at 0, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then yields

$$g(0) \geq g(0) + \delta_\tau > g(0),$$

which is a contradiction. □

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank S. Branton, O. Jenkinson, M. Nicol and P. Thieullen for helpful conversations. He also thanks O. Jenkinson for organising the School and Workshop on Ergodic Optimization at Queen Mary, University of London in November 2006, at which many of these discussions took place.

The author is grateful to an anonymous (and patient!) referee for suggesting some significant simplifications. The proof of Theorem 1, in particular, has benefited substantially from the referee’s advice.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. E. Barabanov. On the Lyapunov exponent of discrete inclusions I. *Avtomat. i Telemekh.* **2** (1988), 40–46; English translation: *Autom. Remote Control* **49** (1988), 152–157.
- [2] T. Bousch. Le poisson n’a pas d’arêtes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **36** (2000), 489–508.
- [3] T. Bousch. La condition de Walters. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **34** (2001), 287–311.
- [4] T. Bousch. Nouvelle preuve d’un théorème de Yuan et Hunt. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **136**(2) (2008), 227–242.
- [5] T. Bousch. Le lemme de Mañé–Conze–Guivarc’h pour les systèmes amphidynamiques rectifiables. *Preprint*, 2007.
- [6] T. Bousch and O. Jenkinson. Cohomology classes of dynamically non-negative  $C^k$  functions. *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), 207–217.
- [7] T. Bousch and J. Mairesse. Asymptotic height optimization for topical IFS, Tetris heaps, and the finiteness conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), 77–111.
- [8] S. Branton. Sub-actions for Young towers. *Preprint*.
- [9] J. Brémont. Gibbs measures at temperature zero. *Nonlinearity* **16** (2003), 419–426.
- [10] J.-P. Conze and Y. Guivarc’h. Croissance des sommes ergodiques. Unpublished manuscript, circa 1993.
- [11] G. Contreras, A. Lopes and P. Thieullen. Lyapunov minimizing measures for expanding maps of the circle. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **21** (2001), 1379–1409.
- [12] H. Hu. Decay of correlations for piecewise smooth maps with indifferent fixed points. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **24** (2004), 495–524.
- [13] B. Hunt and E. Ott. Optimal periodic orbits of chaotic systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996), 2254–2257.
- [14] O. Jenkinson. Geometric barycentres of invariant measures for circle maps. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **21** (2001), 1429–1445.
- [15] O. Jenkinson. Rotation, entropy and equilibrium states. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 3713–3739.
- [16] O. Jenkinson, R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański. Zero temperature limits of Gibbs-equilibrium states for countable alphabet subshifts of finite type. *J. Stat. Phys.* **119** (2005), 765–776.
- [17] A. Leizarowitz. Infinite horizon autonomous systems with unbounded cost. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **13** (1985), 19–43.
- [18] C. Liverani, B. Saussol and S. Vaienti. A probabilistic approach to intermittency. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **19** (1999), 671–685.
- [19] A. O. Lopes and P. Thieullen. Sub-actions for Anosov diffeomorphisms. Geometric methods in dynamics II. *Astérisque* **287**(xix) (2003), 135–146.
- [20] V. Nijica and M. Pollicott. Transitivity of Euclidean extensions of Anosov diffeomorphisms. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **25** (2005), 257–269.
- [21] Y. Peres. A combinatorial application of the maximal ergodic theorem. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1988), 248–252.
- [22] S. V. Savchenko. Homological inequalities for finite topological Markov chains. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **33** (1999), 236–238.
- [23] R. Souza. Sub-actions for weakly hyperbolic one-dimensional systems. *Dyn. Syst.* **18** (2003), 165–179.
- [24] L.-S. Young. Recurrence times and rates of mixing. *Israel J. Math.* **110** (1999), 153–188.
- [25] G. Yuan and B. Hunt. Optimal orbits of hyperbolic systems. *Nonlinearity* **12** (1999), 1207–1224.